Search for signatures of large extra dimensions in high-mass diphoton events using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV with CMS

Andrew Buccilli (University of Alabama)
on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

APS April Meeting 2018
Columbus, Ohio
14 April 2018

Motivation

- Hierarchy problem in SM arises from ratio of:
  - Planck scale $M_{Pl} \sim 10^{19}$ GeV
  - Electroweak symmetry breaking scale $M_{EWSB} \sim 10^{2}$ GeV

$$M_{\mathrm{Pl}}{}^2 \sim M_{\mathrm{Pl}(4+n)}^{2+n} R^n$$

- Arkani-Hamed, Dimopoulos and Dvali (ADD) model of large extra dimensions proposes
  - *n* additional spatial dimensions compactified with radius *R*
  - allows the *fundamental Planck scale* $M_{Pl(4+n)} \sim 1$ TeV with observed $M_{Pl} \sim 10^{19}$ GeV if $R \sim 10^{30/n-19}$ m



- Produces Kaluza–Klein (KK) modes of the graviton *G*, which can decay to 2 photons
  - ADD model gives non-resonant signal enhancement over expected SM background to $m_{\gamma\gamma}$

- $G_{KK} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
  - CMS detector provides excellent energy resolution for electromagnetic particles
  - Clean, isolated photon signature

- Previous CMS search used 2011 LHC data at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV



Analysis strategy

- Search for a non-resonant excess in the high-mass diphoton spectrum at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV using the full 2016 dataset corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1}



<u>Full background prediction is made:</u>

1. Dominant, irreducible prompt SM diphoton ($\gamma\gamma$) background
   - Next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) Monte Carlo (MC) calculation

2. Sub-dominant, reducible jet-faking-photon (γ***+j*** / ***j+j***) background
   - Data-driven estimate

Event selection

- Photons are measured by the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL)

- Trigger selection
  - Events with 2 photon candidates each with p_T > 60 GeV

- Kinematic selection
  - Photon p_T > 75 GeV (fully efficient trigger selection)
  - Diphoton invariant mass $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ > 500 GeV
  - EBEB: two photons in EB
  - EBEE: one photon in EB and one in EE

- Photon identification tuned for high-p_T photons
  - Relies on
    - Isolation variables
    - Shower shape variables
    - Electron veto
  - 90(85)% efficient in EB(EE)

EB: ECAL barrel, $|\eta| < 1.44$
EE: ECAL endcap, $1.57 < |\eta| < 2.5$



ADD signal model

- ADD model is parameterized by number of extra dimensions n_{ED} and UV string cutoff scale M_S
- Interference effects
  - Signal generated with LO SM background using Sherpa v.2.1.1
    - Samples generated with $m_{\gamma\gamma} \leq M_S$
- M_S cutoff conventions $\mathcal{F}$
  - Consider **HLZ (n_{ED} =2)**, **GRW**, and **Hewett-**

- Total cross section:

$$\sigma_{\text{total}} = \sigma_{\text{SM}} + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{M_S^4}\sigma_{\text{int}} + \frac{\mathcal{F}^2}{M_S^8}\sigma_{\text{ADD}}$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{cases} 1 \quad \text{(GRW)}, \\ \log\left(\frac{M_S^2}{\hat{s}}\right), \text{ if } n_{ED} = 2 \\ \frac{2}{n_{ED}-2}, \text{ if } n_{ED} > 2 \quad \text{(HLZ)}, \\ \pm\frac{2}{\pi} \quad \text{(Hewett)}, \end{cases}$$

- Parameter space:
  - M_S = 3-11 TeV
  - n_{ED} = 2,3,…,7

HLZ (n_{ED} = 2)



sig.+bkg.+int.



sig.+int.

Real background

- Irreducible, prompt SM $\gamma\gamma$ background generated using Sherpa v.2.1.1 with:



Box



Born + 0, 1, 2, or 3 jets

- NNLO contribution to SM processes calculated using MCFM v.8.0

- ***K* factor = MCFM / GEN-level Sherpa**
  - Function of $m_{\gamma\gamma}$
  - Used to reweight fully simulated prompt diphoton events (from Sherpa) for final prediction

- *K* factor: 1.4-2.2(2.5) in EBEB(EBEE) for $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ < 3 TeV





Fake background

- Reducible background from SM γ**+j** or **j+j** events where one or two jets with large EM activity fake a photon signature

- Fake rate function $f(p_T)$ is measured in a jet-enriched data reference sample as a function of photon p_T in EB and EE

- **fake rate: $f(p_T)$ = numerator / denominator**
  - **numerator:** number of jets passing photon ID
  - **denominator:** number of jets passing a looser photon-like ID

- Contamination from real photons is removed from **numerator** through a template fit

- **Denominator** objects in analysis data sample are reweighed by $f(p_T)$ to give a fake prediction

- Fake contribution is less than 4(14)% in EBEB(EBEE) for $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ > 1 TeV





Results

- **Pre-fit** prediction of $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ spectra in good agreement with data
  - EBEB and EBEE



- **Post-fit** in $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ gives final prediction
  - Assign nuisance parameters to each source of systematic uncertainty
  - Perform a MLE fit
  - Allow real background normalization to float



Limits on ADD model

- Upper limits on the ADD signal strength are translated into lower limits of M_S



- Expected limits on M_S range from 5.6-8.3 TeV

Signal:	GRW	Hewett		HLZ					
		negative	positive	n_{ED}=2	n_{ED}=3	n_{ED}=4	n_{ED}=5	n_{ED}=6	n_{ED}=7
Expected:	$7.0^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	$5.6^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	$6.3^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$	$8.3^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$	$8.3^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$	$7.0^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	$6.3^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	$5.9^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$	$5.6^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$

Conclusion and outlook

- A non-resonant, high-mass diphoton search using 35.9 fb^{-1}, corresponding to the full 2016 dataset, was performed with CMS
  - NNLO prediction of the prompt SM diphoton background
  - Data-driven estimate of the fake background

- Observed data is consistent with SM background

- Limits set on the ADD model of large extra dimensions
  - Expected limits on M_S = 5.6-8.3 TeV depending on the cutoff convention
    - Improves current best limits by ATLAS (*arXiv:1707.04147*)

- First CMS non-resonant, high-mass diphoton search done using LHC Run 2 data
  - Previous CMS result used 2011 data with $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV pp collisions (*arXiv:1112.0688*)

- Review of paper draft under internal review
  - Journal submission expected to follow

Backup

LHC

- CERN accelerator complex



- LHC overview



CMS detector



CMS particle identification



Photon selection efficiency

- Measured using the Tag and Probe technique





ADD signal model

- ADD model is parameterized by number of extra dimensions n_{ED} and UV string cutoff scale M_S
- Interference effects:
  - Signal generated with LO SM background using Sherpa v.2.1.1 (**signal+background** samples)
    - Samples generated with $m_{\gamma\gamma} \leq M_S$
  - Separate LO background samples are generated to extract the signal (background only samples)
- Cutoff conventions $\mathcal{F}$
  - Translate between different conventions with same η_G
  - Consider **HLZ (n_{ED} =2)**, **GRW**, **and Hewett-**
- Parameter space:
  - M_S = 3-11 TeV
  - n_{ED} = 2,3,…,7

- Total cross section:

$$\sigma_{\text{total}} = \sigma_{\text{SM}} + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{M_S^4}\sigma_{\text{int}} + \frac{\mathcal{F}^2}{M_S^8}\sigma_{\text{ADD}}$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{cases} 1 \quad \text{(GRW)}, \\ \log\left(\frac{M_S^2}{\hat{s}}\right), \text{ if } n_{ED} = 2 \\ \frac{2}{n_{ED}-2}, \text{ if } n_{ED} > 2 \\ \pm\frac{2}{\pi} \quad \text{(Hewett)}, \end{cases} \quad \text{(HLZ)},$$

$$\eta_G = \mathcal{F}/M_S^4$$



signal+background



signal

HLZ (n_{ED} = 2)



signal

Hewett-



signal

GRW

Fake background

- Reducible background from SM γ**+j** or **j+j** events where one or two jets with large EM activity fake a photon signature, typically a π^0 or η

- Fake rate function *f* is measured in a jet-enriched data reference sample as a function of photon p_T in EB and EE, then is applied to analysis data sample to give a fake prediction

- **fake rate = *f*(p_T) = numerator / denominator**
  - **numerator** = number of jets passing photon ID
  - **denominator** = number of jets passing a looser photon-like ID



- Real, signal photons must be removed from **numerator**
  - Real and fake photon templates are fit to data in the template variable $\sigma_{i\eta i\eta}$, a shower-shape variable sensitive to real and fake photons
    - **Fake templates** - from reference data in sideband of the isolation variable Iso_{Ch}
    - **Real templates** - from MC
    - **Template fit** - performed using MLE fit to reference data

- **Denominator** objects in analysis data are reweighted by the fake rate function *f*
  - Fake contribution is less than 4(14)% in EBEB(EBEE) for $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ > 1 TeV



Closure test to photon fake rate method

MC based closure test is performed by comparing

1. Fake rate method applied to jet-enriched MC sample (treat MC as data) to determine a **fake prediction** on MC sample
2. **MC truth** calculation of actual fake photons in MC sample





- MC fake templates to MC truth
  - choosing templates from a sideband has some bias

- Closure test predicted fake rate compared to truth fake rate
  - ~30% agreement
  - Similar agreement for inverted relationship between template and sideband variables





Closure test of kinematics

- The **re-weighted denominator objects** reflect the kinematics of known fake objects from **MC truth**



- Photon p_T in EB and EE
- Diphoton $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ γ+j objects in EBEB and EBEE

Systematics

Prompt diphoton background

- The normalization is allowed to float arbitrarily, which absorbs uncertainties in
  - higher order terms not included in our NNLO *K* factor
  - luminosity (2.5%) and photon ID efficiency (6%)
- Scale variations on μ_r and μ_f for the MCFM *K* factor calculation
- 26 NLO CT10 PDF eigenvector pair variations using DIPHOX at NLO
- Shape differences in NNLO MCFM and NLO MCFM and NLO DIPHOX

Fake background

- ~30% uncertainty on fake rate normalization
  - pileup, photon η, and non-closure
- Shape differences in fake rate from JetHT and DoubleMuon datasets

MC signal

- 2.5% for luminosity
- 6% for photon ID efficiency
- 26 NLO CT10 PDF eigenvector pair variations using DIPHOX at NLO on acceptance



EBEB **EBEE**

Scale variations on *K* factor

- Scale variations
  - $\mu_f = \mu_r = 2\ m_{\gamma\gamma}$
  - $\mu_f = \mu_r = m_{\gamma\gamma}$
  - $\mu_f = \mu_r = 1/2\ m_{\gamma\gamma}$

- Similar level of variation observed in EBEE



Event display

- High-mass diphoton event recorded on August 14, 2016